25X1A2 1001<u>00053-1</u> Approved स्टिक्सिस्टिस्टिम 999/0 25X1A2g

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

REPORT NO.

INFORMATION FROM FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS

CD NO.

COUNTRY Fereign

FOREIGN RADIO REACTIONS TO THE KOREAN

SITUATION AND THE PRESIDENT'S DECISION

HOW

SUBJECT

PUBLISHED Radio Breadcasts

WHERE

PUBLISHED

DATE

PUBLISHED

29-30 June 1950

LANGUAGE

Several

DATE OF

INFORMATION 29-30 June 1950

DATE DIST July 1950

NO. OF PAGES

SUPPLEMENT TO 00-F-111, 112,

REPORT NO.

113

ILCONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE THE BEANING OF ESPIONAGE ACT BO 31 AND 32, AS AMENDE ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTH-

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE FBIB (This strictly factual report is based solely on monitored foreign radio broadcasts received in Washington up to 8 s.m., 30 June 1950. It reproduces the fifth of a series of reports prepared in response to a special request.)

SUMMARY: During the last 24 hours, the only new elements that have been aided to Radio Moscow's relevant propaganda line are: (1) the official Soviet reply to the American note, which has been broadcast without comment; and (2) the use of PRAVDA's "direct-aggression" theme in conjunction with the campaign, begun inside the USIR today, for Russian signatures to the Stockholm "Peace" Appeal. Nothing essentially new has been monitored from most of the Communist sources outside the Soviet Union. Monolitaically, they continue to insist that the South Koreans first invaded the north, that this "invasion" had been long prepared and planned by the American imperialists, and that the President's decision signalizes the extension of American policy from "preparations for aggression" to "acts of direct aggression"; some fearmongering also continues. The Peking radio has begun to publicize the Chinese Communists' claim that "liberation" of Formosa is still on the agenda; but they have not yet used their previous assertion that this would take place "soon." Pyongyang continues to broadcast communiques, appeals, and relevant TASS dispatches; although there are references to American air operations, including reports of a "brutal raid" against the "peaceful citizens" of Pyongyang, the President's RETURN TO ARCHIVES & RECORDS CENTER decision has still apparently not been mentioned. IMMEDIATELY AFTER USE

JOB 70-4864 BIX A Western breathing spell is suggested by the reduced number of comments in monitored broadcasts from Western-oriented sources. Reactions to the President's action are still predominantly favorable; but there is some critical opinion, especially from Inlia. Occasional expressions of concern about the effectiveness of American action in Korea, without the use of American troops, continue to appear. Optimism regarding the prospects

CLASSIFICATION THE PRINTE STATE NAVY NSRB DISTRIBUTION AIR ARMY

- 2 -

for current world peace is generally characteristic of the first few comments about Mescow's reaction to date. But one commentator reminds that whatever happens in Korea "me should not think that the Soviet Union will surrender its plans for a world domination of Communism."

KORRA AND THE SOVIET-COMMUNIST "PRACE CAMPATON": Last week, the Soviet rails devoted a greater proportion (77%) of its foreign-affairs comment to the Steckholm-Appeal "peace campaign" than has been the case since this campaign was first launched. That she propagands efforts of Moscow and its "peace partisans" will not be relaxed as a result of the Korean situation is suggested by their almost immediate incorporation of the "direct-aggression" theme into their "peace" line. Currently, the USSR is the scene of a Stockholm-Appeal signature drive, just announced, during which Moscow expects "unanimous support (of the Stockholm Appeal) by the entire Soviet people." "As developments in Korea go to show," says an official statement on the drive, "the American ruling circles already do not confine themselves to preparation of aggression, but have gone over to outright acts of aggression. (Thus), to the Soviet people, the enormous importance of the Stockholm Appeal is evident." As yet, however, Moscow has not mentioned atom weapons in the context of its references to the Korean situation. The enly Communist source which does is the Soviet-controlled German press service. It quotes Max Schmidt, CDU Secretary in Saxeny, to the effect that "the only pessible interpretation of the demand by the American Representative Rivers for the use of atom numbs against the Korean People's Republic" is that "the dollar needs blood."

WHAT IS THE USSR GOING TO DO? Although no Western-oriented sources hezerd direct predictions about the Soviet course of action, a few of them make general allusions to the subject. The MUNICH MERCURY, for example, feels that Seviet reaction to the President's decision "is so weak that it does not appear as if Stelin intends to get involved in a general wer. " A Swiss paper expects "that the men in the Kremlin will see reason after being shown that the West would rather risk a war than put up with further breaches of peace." And there are occasional observations that because the USSR has not firmly committed itself in Korea and because the U.S. has limited its intervention to the 38th parallel, the "Russians can withdraw without a loss of prestige." On the other hand, there are a few warnings that the Korean war might be a "diversionary feint to cover up a truly big move that is planned by the Kremlin" and that "meanwhile, the attention of the U.S. is drawn away from the other froats of the cold The only allusion from a Communist or near-Communist source to the possible Seviet course of action appears in the Paris LIBERATION, a leftist paper which has frequently taken a pro-Communist line. It contends that "if the USSR answered Truman in his own violent tene,... then certainly world conflict would be inevitable." But "the USSR wents peace" and it has "given preof of this by keeping (its) calm and sang-froid in the face of the most violent provocations. This is not a sign of weakness, but of wisden.

INDIAN AND ECYPTIAN CRITICISMS: Both the Bembay TIMES OF INDIA and the Lusknew NATIONAL HERALD**criticize the President's decision. The TIMES argues: the Security Council's first resolution "cannot conceal the fact that the U.S. Government teek the intervention decision on its own responsibility"; and the "hastily obtained senction of the Security Bouncil cannot condone the armed intervention...." Further, it opines that the U.N., "which did not hesitate to give a hearing to Hydersbad, ... has certainly not added to its reputation of fairness by refusing even to listen to North Kerea's case." The HERALD takes exception to the President's allegedly "far-fetched interpretation" which "emlarged the issue from one of restoring the status que in Keres into one ef fighting Communism along the Pacific arc." It argues for U.N. participation by the USSR and Communist China since without them world wars cannot be avoided. A Radio Caire commentator takes exception not to the President's decision but to the "big powers' negligence in arming greas which are considered strategically important." For this reason, the U.S. itself is held "responsible" for the Kerean blow. Warning that the Middle Rast is next on the Seviet timetable, the commentator hopes that the Western world will learn a lesson from Korea and that the U.S. will step "westing time by protecting and reinforcing Israel at the expense of the Arab countries. This only widens the gap between the U.S. and the Arab states... (where) America is sowing seeds of resentment and hate while Russia goes on with its uncessing plan of expansion.

RESTRICTED DEC

of the left wing of the Congress Party.

RESTRICTED

^{*} In connection with the question of the USSR's attitude toward the U.N. as the result of the latter's Kerean resolutions, it is of some interest that the statement pledged that the Soviet Government, in conformity with its "consistent" policy of peace and cooperation among nations, would "take the necessary measures through the U.N. and use all other channels for ensuring universal peace and international security."

** The TIMES OF INDIA, the leading English daily of Western India, has recently under a new editor, become anti-American and anti-Congress Party. The NATIONAL HERALD reflects the attitude.